

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/317,536	(05/24/1999	BIN ZHAO	97RSS256-DIV	9245	
25700	7590	05/23/2003				
FARJAMI	& FARJA	AMI LLP	EXAMINER			
16148 SANI IRVINE, CA		N		OWENS, DOUGLAS W		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2811		
				DATE MAILED: 05/23/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	ym					
Advisory Action	09/317,536	ZHAO ET AL.						
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Douglas W Owens	2811						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 30 April 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.								
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{4}$ months from the mailing date	-							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period o fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the control of	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CFI fextension and the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.								
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:								
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);								
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);							
(c) ⊠ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or								
(d) 🛛 they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	S.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.								
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ion(s):							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) <u>35 and 36</u> would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).								
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consideration	dered but does NO	Γ place the					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	e newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims wo			ind an					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed: <u>28-33</u> .								
Claim(s) objected to: 17 and 18.								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>16,19-27 and 34</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a	a) approved or b) disappr	roved by the Exami	ner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	it(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
10. Other:		Staven Letto Primary Examiner						
	4	Steven Lo	re					

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No. 09/317,536



Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant's arguments filed April 30, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The Applicant argues that the term "the gaps" requires a low-k material be formed between all of the gaps. The claim language only requires that the one or more metal lines have gaps between them. This feature is shown in figure 1. The claim further requires that a low-k material fills the gaps between the metal lines. There are three metal lines shown in figure 1, and two gaps between them. All of the gaps shown have a low-k material filler. Furthermore, there is nothing in the claims that requires "all" gaps have a low-k dielectric material filling them. The broad claim language, only requires that gaps exists between the metal lines and a low-k material fills those gaps. Usami meets those limitations.

The Applicant further argues that Usami teaches an interlayer insulator (4) in a widely spaced region and therefore does not teach a low-k material filling the gaps between metal lines. There is nothing in the claims to preclude additional gaps having different dielectric materials therebetween

The Applicant argues that Usami does not teach a protective layer formed directly over the metal lines and the low-k material, asserting that the language, "directly over" requires that the protective layer be in direct contact with the low-k material and metal lines. The Applicant asserts, citing Webster's New World Dictionary, that the term "directly" modifies "over" to require that there is nothing or no one between. The term "directly" is also defined to mean "In a direct line or way: straight" (See Webster's II New Riverside University Dictionary). It can be seen in figure 1 of Usami that the protective layer is formed in a direct line with the underlying low-k material and metal lines. There is nothing in the claims to necessarily require that the protective layer be in direct contact with the low-k material and metal lines.